

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/845,589	04/30/2001	Dale F. McIntyre	82466RLO	2441	
7	590 07/17/2002				
Thomas H. Close Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company			EXAMINER		
			CARTER, MONICA SMITH		
343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11001140001,111			3722		
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1/V.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/845,589	MCINTYRE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL DIO DATE of the communication	Monica S. Carter	3722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	bears on the cover sheet with ti	ne correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.	<u>lune 2002</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application	1					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		2() (1) (2)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	1 priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	a hava haan sasabisad					
1. Certified copies of the priority document		antina Na				
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	* *					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inforr	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

. Application/Control Number: 09/845,589

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-24 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16-19, 22, 24 and 36-38 of copending Application No. 09/825,453. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only difference between the present claims and the copending claims is that the present claims claim that the visual images of different characteristics are of the same digital image and the images being cut from the single medium and placed on a page to be used in a scrapbook.

Regarding the image being the same, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any image whether it be the same or a different image on the medium, since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it

Art Unit: 3722

has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. In re Gulack 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of medium does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Thus, there is no novel and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter (e.g. digital images) and the substrate (e.g. medium) which is required for patentability.

Regarding the images being cut and placed on a page for scrapbooking, it is well-known to cut pictures from a sheet to be applied to a page for scrapbooking, school projects, etc. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the cut digital images on a page for scrapbooking purposes.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Manico et al. (5,791,692), Squilla et al. (6,123,362), Squilla et al. (6,288,719), Fredlund et al. (6,147,743), Morag (6,324,545), Japanese Patent (7-

. Application/Control Number: 09/845,589

Art Unit: 3722

200796), http://www.secure.jcpenneyportraits.com/traditionalportraits.s and

http://www.Kodak.com.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 AM - 5:30

PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1148.

Monica S. Carter

Page 4

July 14, 2002